arXiv:1701.05509v1 [math.OA] 19 Jan 2017

ON QUASIDIAGONALITY OF SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

INGRID BELTIŢĂ AND DANIEL BELTIŢĂ

Abstract. Within the class of connected simply connected solvable Lie groups of type I, we determine for which ones their C^*-algebras are strongly quasidiagonal. We give also examples of amenable Lie groups with non-quasidiagonal C^*-algebras.
2010 MSC: 22E27; 22D25
Keywords: unitary dual, Euclidean motion group, $ax+b$-group

1. Introduction

We continue here our quest for understanding the unitary dual of solvable Lie groups, from a topological point of view (see [BB16a], [BB16b], [BBG16] [BBL17]). The aspects we investigate in this paper are related to quasidiagonality. Quasidiagonality for C^*-algebras of discrete groups has raised much interest, in particular in connection with Rosenberg conjecture (see [TWW16]). In this paper, we study the quasidiagonality of C^*-algebras of Lie groups, and, among other things, we give examples of amenable Lie groups with non-quasidiagonal C^*-algebras (see Corollary 7.8).

The main results of this paper (Theorem 2.1) provide an answer to an old problem [Hd87, §5, Question 1] in the case of connected simply connected solvable Lie groups of type I, in the sense that we determine exactly for which ones of these groups their C^*-algebras are strongly quasidiagonal. Let us recall that a separable C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be strongly quasidiagonal if every irreducible $*$-representation $\pi\colon \mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ is quasidiagonal, that is, one has

$$(\forall a\in\mathcal{A})\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\|\pi(a)P_n-P_n\pi(a)\|=0$$

for a suitable sequence $P_n=P_n^*=P_n^2\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ with $\operatorname{rank}P_n<\infty$ for every $n\geq 1$ and $P_n\to\mathbf{1}$ in the strong operator topology as $n\to\infty$.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the statement of the main results on strong quasidiagonality of C^*-algebras of solvable Lie groups of type I, and the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed in Section 3. The technical Theorem 2.2 is then proved in Sections 4–6. Examples of solvable Lie groups with quasidiagonal/non-quasidiagonal C^*-algebras are given in Section 7, where we determine the condition for a generalized $ax+b$-group to have these properties.

General notation and terminology. For any C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we define $\mathcal{A}^1:=\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital, while if $\mathcal{A}$ has no unit element, then $\mathcal{A}^1:=\mathcal{A}\oplus\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}\subseteq M(\mathcal{A})$ is the unitization of $\mathcal{A}$, and $M(\mathcal{A})$ is the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS–UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0370.

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible $*$-representations of $\mathcal{A}$, endowed with its usual topology. For every irreducible $*$-representation $\pi\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ we denote its equivalence class by $[\pi] \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *For any connected simply connected solvable Lie group G of type I with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the following properties are equivalent:*

(i) The C^*-algebra $C^*(G)$ is strongly quasidiagonal.
(ii) For every $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ the eigenvalues of the linear map $\mathfrak{g} \ni X \mapsto [A, X] \in \mathfrak{g}$ are purely imaginary or zero.
(iii) For every $[\pi] \in \widehat{G}$ one has $\pi(C^*(G)) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$.
(iv) All one-point subsets of $\widehat{G}$ are closed.

If G is an exponential solvable Lie group, then the above properties are further equivalent to

(v) The Lie group G is nilpotent.

Proof. The most difficult step in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is (i) $\implies$ (iii). This implication will be discussed separately in Section 3 below. We will now establish the other relations between the properties from the above statement.

(iii) $\implies$ (i): This is well known.
(ii) $\iff$ (iii): This follows by [AuMo66, Ch. V, Thms. 1–2].
(iii) $\iff$ (iv): This follows by [Fe62, Prop. 3].

Finally, for any exponential solvable Lie group G, one has (iii) $\iff$ (v) by [BBG16, Rem. 2.11]. □

The key ingredient in the proof of (i) $\implies$ (iii) in Theorem 2.1 is the following theorem, which may hold an independent interest. For instance, besides its applications to C^*-algebras of solvable Lie groups, Theorem 2.2 also applies to C^*-algebras of Euclidean motion groups (see Example 2.3).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital C^*-algebra endowed with a continuous action of the group $G = K \times \mathbb{R}$, where K is a compact group. Assume that there are closed two-sided G-invariant ideals $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with the following properties:*

(1) One has $\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{M}$.
(2) The closed two-sided G-invariant ideal $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2$ has no unit element. Moreover, the open $\mathbb{R}$-invariant subset $Y := \widehat{\mathcal{J}} = \widehat{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{J}_2} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is metrizable and Hausdorff in the relative topology, and there exists a $*$-isomorphism $\mathcal{J} \simeq \mathcal{C}_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0))$ for a suitable separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$.
(3) The action of $\mathbb{R}$ on Y is proper and the orbit space $Y/\mathbb{R}$ is quasi-compact.
(4) There exists $a \in \mathcal{J}_1$ with $0 \leq a \leq \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1} - a \in \mathcal{J}_2$ such that for every irreducible $*$-representation $\pi\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ with $[\pi] \in Y$ one has

$$\pi(\mathbb{R} \cdot a) \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|\pi(t \cdot (\mathbf{1} - a))\| = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \|\pi(t \cdot a)\| = 0,$$

and such that $k \cdot a = a$ for every $k \in K$.

Then there exist nonunitary isometries in the unital C^*-algebra $((K \times \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$.

We illustrate the above theorem by the example of Euclidean motion groups. The topology of the spectrum of the C^*-algebra of the Euclidean motion group was recently studied in [AraH15].

Example 2.3. The Euclidean motion group $E(n) := \mathrm{SO}\,(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ is the semidirect product defined via the tautological action of the compact Lie group $K := \mathrm{SO}\,(n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, hence in particular

$$C^*(E(n)) \simeq \mathrm{SO}\,(n) \ltimes \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and we note that this is a liminal algebra for instance by [Wi81, Th. 3.1], hence it is strongly quasidiagonal. We now study quasidiagonality of the crossed product of $C^*(E(n))$ by a natural action of $\mathbb{R}$.

Since the aforementioned tautological action of $\mathrm{SO}\,(n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is an action by linear maps, it commutes with the action of the multiplicative group $(0,\infty)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $(r,x) \mapsto rx$. One has the group isomorphism $(\mathbb{R},+) \simeq ((0,\infty),\cdot)$, $t \mapsto \mathrm{e}^t$, hence one thus obtains an action of the group $K \times \mathbb{R}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(K \times \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \\ &((T,t),x) \mapsto T(e^t x) = \mathrm{e}^t(Tx) \text{ for } (T,t) \in K \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

One has

$$C^*((\mathbb{R} \times K) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq C^*(\mathbb{R} \ltimes (K \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n)) \simeq \mathbb{R} \ltimes C^*(K \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \mathbb{R} \ltimes C^*(E(n)). \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, the action of $\mathbb{R} \times K$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ extends to an action on the 1-point compactification $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} := \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, for which action the point ∞ is a fixed point. One can easily check that Theorem 2.2 applies for $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$, the ideals $\mathcal{J}_1 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(0) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_2 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(\infty) = 0\}$, $a \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $0 \leq a \leq \mathbf{1}$, $a(0) = 0$, $a(\infty) = 1$, and $a(Tx) = a(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T \in K = SO(n)$ (for instance $a(x) = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-|x|}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm), and $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}$. Then we have $a \in \mathcal{J}_1$, $\mathbf{1} - a \in \mathcal{J}_2$, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty}(1 - a(e^t x)) = \lim\limits_{t\to\infty} a(e^t x) = 0$ for every $x \in Y := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{C}_0(Y)$.

We thus obtain nonunitary isometries in the unitization of the C^*-algebra $(K \times \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathcal{M} \simeq (K \times \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^1)$. Thus $(K \times \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^1)$ is not quasidiagonal, and then Proposition 5.10 implies that neither $(K \times \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is quasidiagonal. Thus, by the $*$-isomorphisms (2.2), the C^*-algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes C^*(E(n))$ is not quasidiagonal.

3. Proof of (i) $\implies$ (iii) in Theorem 2.1

We will actually prove that if (iii) in Theorem 2.1 fails to be true, then (i) fails to be true. To this end we will show that there exists a closed 2-sided ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq C^*(G)$ for which the quotient $C^*(G)/\mathcal{J}$ is not strongly quasidiagonal, and then $C^*(G)$ cannot be strongly quasidiagonal. This will be accomplished below.

The proof is divided in three steps. The first two show how to reduce the problem to certain low-dimension groups, while the third step treats the case of those low-dimension groups.

Step 1. It follows by [AuMo66, Th. 1–2 and Prop. 2.2, Ch. V] that if G is a connected simply connected solvable Lie group of type I, then G fails to be a liminal group if and only if there exists a connected simply connected closed normal subgroup $N \subseteq G$ for which the quotient Lie group G/N is isomorphic to one of the following Lie groups:

(1) $S_2 := \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$, the connected real $ax + b$-group, defined via

$$\alpha\colon (\mathbb{R}, +) \to \mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{R}, +), \quad \alpha(t)s = \mathrm{e}^t s$$

(2) $S_3^\sigma := \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha^\sigma} \mathbb{R}^2$, defined for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ via

$$\alpha^\sigma\colon (\mathbb{R}, +) \to \mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{R}^2, +), \quad \alpha^\sigma(t) = \mathrm{e}^{\sigma t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) $S_4 := \mathbb{R}^2 \ltimes_\beta \mathbb{R}^2$, defined via

$$\beta\colon (\mathbb{R}^2, +) \to \mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{R}^2, +), \quad \beta(t, s) = \mathrm{e}^t \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & \sin s \\ -\sin s & \cos s \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 2. Every short exact sequence of amenable locally compact groups

$$\mathbf{1} \to N \to G \to G/N$$

leads to a short exact sequence of C^*-algebras

$$0 \to \mathcal{J} \to C^*(G) \to C^*(G/N) \to 0$$

for a suitable closed 2-sided ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $C^*(G)$. This shows that in order to implement the method of proof described above, it suffices to check that the C^*-algebra of any of the above groups S_2, S_3^σ with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and S_4 fails to be strongly quasidiagonal.

Step 3. Using Theorem 2.2, we show here, case by case, that C^*-algebra of any of the groups S_2, S_3^σ with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and S_4 fails to be strongly quasidiagonal.

The case of the group S_2. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ with its unitization $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$, for the 1-point compactification $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The action α extends canonically to a continuous action on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that ∞ is a fixed point. One has $C^*(S_2) = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0$, and this C^*-algebra fails to be quasidiagonal if and only if $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ fails to be quasidiagonal (by Proposition 5.10).

For proving that the C^*-algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ is not quasidiagonal we will show that its unitization contains a nonunitary isometry. To this end we apply Theorem 2.2 for $K = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, $\mathcal{J}_1 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(0) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_2 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(\infty) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}$, and $a \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $0 \leq a \leq \mathbf{1}$, $a(0) = 0$, and $a(\infty) = 1$ (for instance $a(x) = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-|x|}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$). Then we have $a \in \mathcal{J}_1$, $\mathbf{1} - a \in \mathcal{J}_2$, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} (1 - a(e^t x)) = \lim\limits_{t\to\infty} a(e^t x) = 0$ for every $x \in Y := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{C}_0(Y)$.

The case of the group S_3^σ. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with its unitization $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^2})$, for the 1-point compactification $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2} := \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$. The action α extends canonically to a continuous action on $\overline{\mathbb{R}^2}$ such that ∞ is a fixed point. One has $C^*(S_3^\sigma) = \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0$, and this C^*-algebra fails to be quasidiagonal if and only if $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ fails to be quasidiagonal (by Proposition 5.10).

For proving that the C^*-algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ is not quasidiagonal we will show that its unitization contains a nonunitary isometry. To this end we apply Theorem 2.2 for $K = \{\mathbf{1}\}$, $\mathcal{J}_1 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(0) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{J}_2 := \{f \in \mathcal{M} \mid f(\infty) = 0\}$, $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}$, and $a \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $0 \leq a \leq \mathbf{1}$, $a(0) = 0$, and $a(\infty) = 1$ (for instance $a(x) = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-|x|}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$). Then we have $a \in \mathcal{J}_1$, $\mathbf{1} - a \in \mathcal{J}_2$, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} (1 - a(\alpha^\sigma(t)x)) = \lim\limits_{t\to\infty} a(\alpha^\sigma(t)x) = 0$ for every $x \in Y := \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{C}_0(Y)$.

The case of the group S_4. It is easily seen that the surjective map

$$S_4 = \mathbb{R} \ltimes_\beta \mathbb{R}^2 \to (\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{SO}\,(2)) \ltimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}^2, \quad ((t,s),x) \mapsto ((t, \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & \sin s \\ -\sin s & \cos s \end{pmatrix}), x)$$

is a group homomorphism (whose kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$), where α is the group action from (2.1) for $n = 2$. As in Step 2, one then obtains a short exact sequence of C^*-algebras

$$0 \to \mathcal{I} \to C^*(S_4) \to C^*((\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{SO}\,(2)) \ltimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}^2) \to 0$$

for a suitable closed 2-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $C^*(S_4)$. The special case $n = 2$ of Example 2.3 shows that the C^*-algebra $C^*((\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{SO}\,(2)) \ltimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}^2)$ is not quasidiagonal, and then the above short exact sequence shows that $C^*(S_4)$ cannot be strongly quasidiagonal.

4. First step in the proof of Theorem 2.2: Abstract conditions

In this section we obtain the first ingredient for the proof of Theorem 2.2, namely Proposition 4.6, which provides some abstract sufficient conditions for the existence of nonunitary isometries in the unitizations of certain crossed products.

Group actions on the dual of a C^*-algebra. The following lemma is a slight generalization of [Wi07, Prop. 2.7].

Lemma 4.1. *Let Y be a locally compact Hausdorff space, $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a separable complex Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{J} := \mathbb{C}_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0))$. If G is a locally compact group, then there is a canonical surjective correspondence from the C^*-dynamical systems $G \times \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$, $(t,a) \mapsto t \cdot a$ to the dynamical systems $Y \times G \to Y$, $(y,t) \mapsto y \cdot t$, given by*

$$(t \cdot a)(y) = a(y \cdot t) \tag{4.1}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{J}$, $t \in G$, and $y \in Y$.

If there exists a nontrivial continuous group morphism $\chi \colon G \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$, then the above correspondence is one-to-one if and only if $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$.

Proof. If $Y \times G \to Y$, $(y,t) \mapsto y \cdot t$, is a dynamical system, then it is clear that the map $G \times \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$, $(t,a) \mapsto t \cdot a$ defined by (4.1) is a C^*-dynamical system (whose continuity follows by the method of proof of [Wi07, Lemma 2.5]), so the correspondence mentioned in the statement is surjective.

Conversely, assume that we are given a C^*-dynamical system $G \times \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$, $(t,a) \mapsto t \cdot a$. Since $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a separable complex Hilbert space, there is the canonical homeomorphism $Y \to \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$, $y \mapsto [\pi_y]$, where $\pi_y \colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is the evaluation at $y \in Y$. Using that homeomorphism, one defines the map $y \mapsto y \cdot t$ as the dual map of the $*$-automorphism $a \mapsto t \cdot a$, for every $t \in G$. Using the method of proof of [Wi07, Lemma 1.33] one can prove that the map $G \times Y \to Y$ obtained in this way is continuous.

Finally, if $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = 1$ then the above correspondence is one-to-one by [Wi07, Lemma 1.33], while if $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 > 1$ then we may use any continuous function $\theta \colon Y \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ whose values are self-adjoint operators for which at least one value is not a scalar multiple of the identity operator, and then we obtain a C^*-dynamical system $G \times \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$, $(t \cdot a)(y) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\chi(t)\theta(y)} a(y) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\chi(t)\theta(y)}$ which is nontrivial and yet it corresponds to the trivial dynamical system $Y \times G \to Y$, $(y,t) \mapsto y \cdot t$. □

On regular representations of crossed products by LCA groups. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital C^*-algebra endowed with a continuous action of a locally compact group $G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(t, x) \mapsto t \cdot x$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ be the topological space of unitary equivalence classes $[\pi]$ of irreducible $*$-representations $\pi\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$. For all $[\pi] \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, $t \in G$, and $a \in \mathcal{M}$ we define $(\pi \cdot t)(a) := \pi(t \cdot a)$. This leads to a group action $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \times G \to \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, $([\pi], t) \mapsto [\pi] \cdot t$, which is continuous by [Wi07, Lemma 2.8].

For every $s \in G$ we denote by

$$\lambda_s\colon L^2(G) \to L^2(G), \quad ()\lambda_s\varphi) := \varphi(s^{-1}t)$$

the left regular representation. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, then we denote by

$$\lambda_s^{\mathcal{H}} := \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \lambda_s\colon L^2(G, \mathcal{H}) \to L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$$

for every $s \in G$. If $\pi\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-representation, then define

$$M_\pi\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H})), \quad h \mapsto M_\pi(h), \quad (M_\pi(h)\varphi)(t) := \pi(t^{-1} \cdot h)\varphi(t)$$

the corresponding representation by multiplication operators. The pair (M_π, λ) is a covariant representation of the dynamical system given by the action of G in $\mathcal{M}$ (see [Wi07, Example 2.1]), that is,

$$\lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(r) M_\pi(a) \lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(r)^{-1} = M_\pi(r \cdot a) \tag{4.2}$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $s \in G$. The *π-regular representation*

$$M_\pi \rtimes \lambda^{\mathcal{H}} =: \Pi\colon L^1(G, \mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H})), \quad \Pi(f) = \int_G M_\pi(f(s))\lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence for every $f \in L^1(G, \mathcal{M})$ and $\varphi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$ and almost every $t \in G$ one has

$$(\Pi(f)\varphi)(t) = \int_G \pi(t^{-1} \cdot f(s))\varphi(s^{-1}t)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a locally compact abelian group and $\pi\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be any $*$-representation. If for every $r \in G$ we denote by $\Pi_r\colon L^1(G, \mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}))$ the $\pi \cdot r$-regular representation, then Π_r and $\Pi := \Pi_{\mathbf{1}}$ are unitary equivalent. More precisely, for every $f \in L^1(G, \mathcal{M})$ one has $\Pi_r(f) = \lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(r)\Pi(f)\lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(r)^(-1)$,*

Proof. Since the group G is abelian we also have that

$$(M_\pi(r \cdot a)\varphi)(s) = \pi(s^{-1}r) \cdot a)\varphi(s) = \pi(r \cdot (s^{-1} \cdot a))\varphi(s) = (M_{\pi_r}(a)\varphi)(s)$$

for every $\varphi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H})$, which along with (4.2) gives

$$\lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(r) M_\pi(a) \lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(r)^{-1} = M_{\pi_r}(a).$$

The equality in the statement now follows easily. □

On proper actions on C^*-algebras. Let Y be a locally compact space and $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{C}_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))$. We assume that $Y \times G \to Y$, $(t, y) \mapsto y \cdot t$ is a continuous action of a locally compact group, and for any sets $K, L \subseteq Y$ we define

$$((K, L)) := \{t \in G \mid (K \cdot t) \cap L \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We say that the action of G on Y is *proper* if for every compact set $K \subseteq Y$ the set $((K, K))$ is also compact, or equivalently, if for every compact sets $K, L \subseteq Y$ the set $((K, L))$ is also compact (see [KLQ16, Lemma 2.3]).

Lemma 4.3. *Let Y be a metrizable locally compact space, E be a Banach space, and $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{C}_0(Y, E)$. If $Y \times Y \to G$, $(t, y) \mapsto y \cdot t$ is a proper continuous action of a metrizable locally compact group, and $\lim_{j\to\infty} y_j = y$ in Y, then for every $d \in \mathcal{J}$ one has*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{t\in G} \|d(y_j \cdot t) - d(y \cdot t)\| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Since $d \in \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C}_0(Y, E)$, there exists a compact set $L_\varepsilon \subseteq Y$ with $\|d(z)\| < \varepsilon$ for every $z \in Y \setminus L_\varepsilon$. The set $K := \{y\} \cup \{y_j \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Y$ is compact, hence by hypothesis the set $((K, L_\varepsilon))$ is compact, and then the continuous function

$$K \times ((K, L_\varepsilon)) \to E, \quad (z, t) \mapsto d(z \cdot t)$$

is uniformly continuous. Since $\lim_{j\to\infty} y_j = y$ in K, this implies that there exists $j_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \geq j_\varepsilon$ and for every $t \in ((K, L_\varepsilon))$ one has $\|d(y_j \cdot t) - d(y \cdot t)\| < \varepsilon$.

On the other hand, if $t \in G \setminus ((K, L_\varepsilon))$, then $y \cdot t \in Y \setminus L_\varepsilon$ and also $y_j \cdot t \in Y \setminus L_\varepsilon$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\|d(y \cdot t)\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|d(y_j \cdot t) < \varepsilon$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore for all $t \in G \setminus ((K, L_\varepsilon))$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\|d(y_j \cdot t) - d(y \cdot t)\| < 2\varepsilon$.

Consequently for all $j \geq j_\varepsilon$ and $t \in G$ one has $\|d(y_j \cdot t) - d(y \cdot t)\| < 2\varepsilon$, and this completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a separable complex Hilbert space, Y be a metrizable locally compact space, and $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{C}_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0))$. Let $Y \times G \to Y$, $(y, t) \mapsto y \cdot t$ be a continuous action of a metrizable locally compact group, which defines a continuous action of G on $\mathcal{J}$. For every $y \in Y$ let $\pi_y \colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ be the corresponding irreducible $*$-representation, with its π_y-regular representation $\Pi_y \colon G \ltimes \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0))$.*

If the action of G on Y is proper, then for every $f \in G \ltimes \mathcal{J}$ the map

$$Y \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)), \quad y \mapsto \Pi_y(f)$$

is continuous with respect to the operator norm topology.

Proof. For every $y \in Y$ the map $\Pi_y \colon G \ltimes \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0))$ is a $*$-representation, so for every $f \in G \ltimes \mathcal{J}$ one has $\|\Pi_y(f)\| \leq \|f\|$ by [Dix64, Prop. 1.3.7]. This shows that it suffices to check the continuity of the map in the statement for f in a dense subset of $G \ltimes \mathcal{J}$. Moreover, since Π_y is a linear operator, it suffices to check the continuity for f in a subset that spans a dense linear subspace of $L^1(G, \mathcal{J})$, so we may assume $f(\cdot) = \chi(\cdot)d$ with $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_c(G)$ and $d \in \mathcal{J}$. Then by (4.4) we obtain for all $y \in Y$, $\varphi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)$ and almost every $t \in G$,

$$(\Pi_y(f)\varphi)(t) = \pi_y(t^{-1} \cdot d) \int_G \chi(s)\varphi(s^{-1}t)\mathrm{d}s.$$

Using for instance the estimates in [BSS72, Prop. 3.1], it then follows that for all $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Pi_{y_1}(f) - \Pi_{y_2}(f)\| &\leq \sup_{t\in G} \|\pi_{y_1}(t^{-1} \cdot d) - \pi_{y_2}(t^{-1} \cdot d)\| \cdot \|\chi\|_{L^1(G)} \\ &= \sup_{t\in G} \|d(y_1 \cdot t) - d(y_2 \cdot t)\| \cdot \|\chi\|_{L^1(G)} \end{aligned}$$

and now Lemma 4.3 shows that the map $y \mapsto \Pi_y(f)$ is continuous. □

Main abstract results.

Remark 4.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a C^*-algebra without unit, with its unitization $\mathcal{A}^1 := \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dotplus \mathcal{A}$. If $\pi\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-representation with its canonical extension to a unital $*$-representation $\pi^1\colon \mathcal{A}^1 \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\pi^1|_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi$ and $\pi^1(\mathbf{1}) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then one has

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi^1 = \{0\} \iff \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi = \{0\}.$$

Indeed, $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi^1$, hence if $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi^1 = \{0\}$ then $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi = \{0\}$. Conversely, assume $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi = \{0\}$ and let $\widetilde{a} \in \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi^1$ arbitrary. There exist uniquely determined $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\widetilde{a} = z\mathbf{1} + a$. If $z = 0$, then $\widetilde{a} = a \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi^1 = \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi = \{0\}$, hence $\widetilde{a} = 0$. If $z \neq 0$, then $0 = \pi^1(\widetilde{a}) = z\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}} + \pi(a)$, hence $\pi(a) = -z\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then for every $b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\pi(a)\pi(b) = \pi(b)\pi(a)$, hence $\pi(ab) = \pi(ba)$, and then $ab = ba$ since $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi = \{0\}$. Thus a commutes with every element of $\mathcal{A}$, and then $a = 0$ by the hypothesis that $\mathcal{A}$ has no unit. Then the above equality $\pi(a) = -z\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ implies $z = 0$, hence at last $\widetilde{a} = 0$, and we are done.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital C^*-algebra endowed with a continuous action of a metrizable locally compact abelian group G, $G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(t, x) \mapsto t \cdot x$. Assume that*

(i) There is an open G-invariant subset $Y \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, for which the relative topology is metrizable and Hausdorff, the action of G on Y is proper and and its corresponding space of G-orbits Y/G is compact.

(ii) The closed 2-sided G-invariant ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $Y = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ is $*$-isomorphic with $\mathcal{C}_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0))$ for a suitable separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, and has no unit element.

Let $v \in (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$ with the properties

(iv) $vv^*, v^*v \in \mathbf{1} + (G \ltimes \mathcal{J}) \subseteq (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$;

(v) if $y \in Y$ and $\pi_y\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is its corresponding irreducible $*$-representation, with its π_y-regular representation $\Pi_y\colon G \ltimes \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0))$, then the operator $\Pi_y(v)$ is injective, its range is closed, but it is not surjective.

Then v^*v is invertible and $v(v^*v)^{-1/2} \in (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$ is a nonunitary isometry.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $v^*v \in (\mathcal{M} \rtimes G)^1$ is invertible, while vv^* is not.

One has the exact sequence of C^*-algebras $0 \to \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J} \to 0$. Each of these C^*-algebras is acted on by G, hence we obtain their corresponding exact sequence of crossed products:

$$0 \to G \ltimes \mathcal{J} \to G \ltimes \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{q} G \ltimes (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}) \to 0$$

(see [Ph87, Lemma 2.8.2]). We also have the exact sequence of unitized algebras

$$0 \to G \ltimes \mathcal{J} \to (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1 \xrightarrow{q} (G \ltimes (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}))^1 \to 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Now for any $y \in Y = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ it follows by the hypothesis that $\Pi_y(v)$ is not surjective, hence $\Pi_y(vv^*)$ is not surjective, and then $vv^* \in (\mathcal{M} \rtimes G)^1$ cannot be invertible.

To complete the proof, it remains to show that $v^*v \in \mathbf{1} + (G \ltimes \mathcal{J})$ is invertible. To this end we first note that, since $\widehat{\mathcal{J}} = Y$, it follows that the representation $\pi\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $\pi := \bigoplus\limits_{y \in Y} \pi_y\colon \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{B}\Big(\bigoplus\limits_{y \in Y} \mathcal{H}_0\Big)$ is faithful, hence also its corresponding π-regular representation $\Pi = \bigoplus\limits_{y \in Y} \Pi_y\colon G \ltimes \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \mathcal{H}))$ is faithful (see [Wi07, Lemma 2.26]). Since $\mathcal{J}$ has no unit element, it follows that

also $G \ltimes \mathcal{J}$ has no unit element by [Bl06, II.10.3.9], and then Remark 4.5 shows that the canonical extension of Π to a unital $*$-representation $\widetilde{\Pi}\colon (G \ltimes \mathcal{J})^1 \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}))$ is faithful. This implies by a straightforward reasoning that $v^*v \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + (G \ltimes \mathcal{J})$ is invertible if and only if for every $y \in Y$ the operator $\widetilde{\Pi}_y(v^*v) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))$ is invertible and in addition $\sup\limits_{y\in Y} \|\widetilde{\Pi}_y(v^*v)^{-1}\| < \infty$. Here we have denoted by $\widetilde{\Pi}_y\colon (G \ltimes \mathcal{J})^1 \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}))$ the canonical extension of Π_y to a unital $*$-representation as in Remark 4.5, for arbitrary $y \in Y$.

To check these conditions, we note that the hypothesis implies that for every $y \in Y$ the operator $\widetilde{\Pi}_y(v)$ is injective and its range is closed, and then it is well known and easy to check that the positive operator $\widetilde{\Pi}_y(v^*v) = \widetilde{\Pi}_y(v)^*\widetilde{\Pi}_y(v)$ is injective and its range is closed, hence $\widetilde{\Pi}_y(v^*v) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))$ is in fact invertible.

Using the hypothesis $\mathcal{J} \simeq \mathcal{C}_0(Y, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0))$ we obtain by Lemma 4.4 that

$$\begin{gathered}\text{if } w \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J} \rtimes G \text{ and } \widetilde{\Pi}_y(w) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))^\times \text{ for all } y \in Y,\\ \text{then the function } Y \to [0,\infty),\ y \mapsto \|\widetilde{\Pi}_y(w)^{-1}\| \text{ is continuous.}\end{gathered} \tag{4.6}$$

Since the group G is abelian, it follows by Lemma 4.2 that if $y \in Y$ and $g \in G$, then the representations Π_y and $\Pi_{y\cdot g}$ of $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ are unitary equivalent, hence $\|\widetilde{\Pi}_y(w)\| = \|\widetilde{\Pi}_{y\cdot g}(w)\|$ for every $w \in (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$. Consequently, if $w \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + (G \ltimes \mathcal{J})$ and $\Pi_y(w) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G,\mathcal{H}_0))^\times$ for all $y \in Y$, then the continuous function $y \mapsto \|\widetilde{\Pi}_y(w)^{-1}\|$ from (4.6) is bounded below and above, because it factorizes through the space of G-orbits Y/G, which is compact by hypothesis. An application of that conclusion for $w := v^*v$ completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.7. *In Proposition 4.6, assume additionally the following:*

(vi) The group G is not discrete and there is a compact group K with a continuous action on $\mathcal{M}$ and a continuous action on G that are compatible, in the sense that they yield a continuous action of the semidirect product $K \ltimes G$ on $\mathcal{M}$.

(vii) The element $v \in (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$ is invariant under the natural action of K on $(G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$.

Then there exists $w \in ((K \ltimes G) \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$ such that w^*w is invertible and $w(w^*w)^{-1/2}$ is a nonunitary isometry.

Proof. We recall from [Wi07, Prop. 3.11] that one has a natural action of K on $\mathcal{A} := G \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ and a canonical $*$-isomorphism $K \ltimes \mathcal{A} \simeq (K \ltimes G) \ltimes \mathcal{M}$. There is also a canonical action of K on $\mathcal{A}^1$. We now consider the fixed-point algebras

$$(\mathcal{A}^1)^K := \{a \in \mathcal{A}^1 \mid (\forall k \in K)\ k \cdot a = a\} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^K := \mathcal{A} \cap (\mathcal{A}^1)^K.$$

Since the group G is not discrete, it follows that $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{A}$ (see [Bl06, II.10.3.9]), hence one also has $\mathbf{1} \notin \mathcal{A}^K$, and then it is easily checked that $(\mathcal{A}^1)^K = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dotplus \mathcal{A}^K$.

Since K is a compact group, there is the injective $*$-morphism

$$\mathcal{A}^K \to K \ltimes \mathcal{A}, \quad a \mapsto \widetilde{a}$$

where $\widetilde{a} \in L^1(K,\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow K \ltimes \mathcal{A}$ is given by $\widetilde{a}(k) := a$ for every $k \in K$ (see for instance [Bl06, Th. II.10.4.18]), and it extends to an injective unital $*$-morphism

$$\iota\colon \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dotplus \mathcal{A}^K = (\mathcal{A}^1)^K \to (K \ltimes \mathcal{A})^1 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dotplus (K \ltimes \mathcal{A}),$$

where we have $(K \ltimes \mathcal{A})^1 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dotplus (K \ltimes \mathcal{A})$ since $K \ltimes \mathcal{A}$ has no unit element (by [Bl06, II.10.3.9] again) as we have seen above that $\mathcal{A}$ has no unit element. Now the

properties of $v \in (\mathcal{A}^1)^K$ from Proposition 4.6 ensure that $w := \iota(v)$ shares the same properties, that is, w^*w is invertible and $w(w^*w)^{-1/2}$ is a nonunitary isometry. This concludes the proof. □

5. Second step in the proof of Theorem 2.2: Specific conditions

In this section we establish some sufficient conditions for the hypotheses (i)–(v) of Proposition 4.6 to be satisfied. All these sufficient conditions are related to a splitting of $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$ into a direct sum of closed 2-sided ideals, so we first make the following remark.

Remark 5.1. Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a closed 2-sided ideal of a C^*-algebra. There are canonical one-to-one correspondences between the following sets:

(i) The set of all pairs of closed 2-sided ideals $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}_1} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2 = \{0\}$, with $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_1 \dotplus \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_2 = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$.

(ii) The set of all pairs of closed 2-sided ideals $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{J}$.

(iii) The set of all pairs of closed subsets $A_1, A_2 \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ with $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ and $A_1 \cup A_2 = \widehat{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Let $q\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$ be the quotient map. The correspondence from the set (i) to the set (ii) is given by $\mathcal{J}_k = q^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_k)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_k = \mathcal{J}_k/\mathcal{J}$ for $k = 1, 2$. The only thing which may not be obvious is to check that the inverse of that correspondence is well defined. That is, if the condition (ii) is satisfied, then the map

$$\Phi\colon (\mathcal{J}_1/\mathcal{J}) \dotplus (\mathcal{J}_2/\mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J},\ \Phi(a_1 + \mathcal{J}, a_2 + \mathcal{J}) := a_1 + a_2 + \mathcal{J}$$

is a $*$-isomorphism. It is easily seen that this map is well defined, and moreover it is surjective since $\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{M}$. It is also injective for, if $a_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $a_2 \in \mathcal{J}_2$ with $a_1 + a_2 \in \mathcal{J}$, then $a_1 \in \mathcal{J}_1 \cap (\mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{J}$ and similarly $a_2 \in \mathcal{J}$. To check that the map Φ is a $*$-morphism one may use the fact that $\mathcal{J}_1\mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{J}$.

The correspondence between the set (i) and the set (iii) is given by $A_k := \widehat{\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_k}$ for $k = 1, 2$.

On compactness of some orbit spaces. Here we establish a sufficient condition for hypothesis (i) of Proposition 4.6 to be satisfied.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $X \times G \to X$ be a continuous right action of a connected locally compact topological group G on the topological space X. Assume the following:*

(1) $V^{\pm} \subset X$ are open sets with $V^- \cap V^+ = \emptyset$.

(2) $y \in X$ is a point for which there exist nets $\{t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ in G for which the net $\{y \cdot t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ has a limit point in $V^{\pm}$.

Then one has $(y.G) \cap (X \setminus (V^- \cup V^+)) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The map $f\colon G \to X$, $f(t) := y.t$ is continuous, and we must prove that $f^{-1}(V^-) \cup f^{-1}(V^+) \subsetneq G$. As V^- and V^+ are disjoint open sets and f is continuous, it follows that $f^{-1}(V^-)$ and $f^{-1}(V^+)$ are disjoint open subsets of G. Since G is connected by hypothesis, it follows that one cannot have $f^{-1}(V^-) \cup f^{-1}(V^+) = G$ if both $f^{-1}(V^-)$ and $f^{-1}(V^+)$ are nonempty, so we will check this latter condition.

To this end we use the hypothesis that the net $\{y \cdot t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ has a limit point in $V^{\pm}$, hence there exists $j^{\pm} \in J$ with $y \cdot t_{j^{\pm}}^{\pm} \in V^{\pm}$, that is, $t_{j^{\pm}}^{\pm} \in f^{-1}(V^{\pm})$. Thus $f^{-1}(V^{\pm}) \neq \emptyset$, and this completes the proof. □

We recall that a quasi-compact set in a topological space satisfies the existence of finite sub-coverings, yet it is not assumed to be Hausdorff, as a compact set is.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $X \times G \to X$ be a continuous right action of a connected locally compact topological group G on the quasi-compact space X. Assume the following:*

(1) $A^{\pm} \subseteq X$ are closed invariant subsets which have disjoint neighborhoods.
(2) For every $y \in Y := X \setminus (A^- \cup A^+)$ there exist nets $\{t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ in G for which the net $\{y \cdot t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ has a limit point in $A^{\pm}$.

Then Y is an open invariant subset of X and its corresponding quotient space Y/G is quasi-compact.

Proof. Since $A^{\pm} \subseteq X$ are closed invariant subsets, it follows that Y is an open invariant subset of X.

By hypothesis there exist open sets $V^{\pm} \subset X$ with $A^{\pm} \subseteq V^{\pm}$ and $V^- \cap V^+ = \emptyset$. Then the set $Y_0 := X \setminus (V^- \cup V^+) \subseteq Y$ is a closed subset of the quasi-compact space X, hence Y_0 is in turn quasi-compact. Therefore, in order to prove that the quotient space Y/G is quasi-compact, it suffices to prove that the continuous map $Y_0 \to Y/G$, $y \mapsto y.G$, is surjective, that is, for every $y \in Y$ one has $(y.G) \cap Y_0 \neq \emptyset$. This is indeed the case by Lemma 5.2, and we are done. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital C^*-algebra endowed with a continuous action of a connected locally compact group G, $G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(t, x) \mapsto t \cdot x$.*

Assume that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a closed 2-sided G-invariant ideal with $Y := \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ and there is a partition $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \setminus Y = A^- \sqcup A^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $A^{\pm} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ are closed invariant subsets which have disjoint neighborhoods.
(2) For every $y \in Y := X \setminus (A^- \cup A^+)$ there exist nets $\{t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ in G for which the net $\{y \cdot t_j^{\pm}\}_{j\in J}$ has a limit point in $A^{\pm}$.

Then the space of G-orbits Y/G is quasi-compact.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital C^*-algebra, it follows by [Dix64, Prop. 3.1.8] that $X := \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is a quasi-compact space, and the action of G on X is continuous by [Wi07, Lemma 2.8]. Hence the assertion follows by Lemma 5.3. □

Unitary elements modulo an ideal. Here we develop a method to construct elements v that satisfy the hypothesis (iv) of Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital C^*-algebra endowed with a continuous action of a locally compact group G, $G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(t, x) \mapsto t \cdot x$.*

Assume that $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ are closed 2-sided G-invariant ideals with $\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{J}_2 = \mathcal{J}$. Moreover assume the following:

(1) One has $a_k \in \mathcal{J}_k$ for $k = 1, 2$ with $a_1 + a_2 = \mathbf{1}$.
(2) There is $\beta \in L^1(G)$ with $\beta + \beta^* = \beta * \beta^* = \beta^* * \beta$ in $L^1(G)$.

If we define

$$v := \mathbf{1} - \beta \otimes a_1 \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dot{+} L^1(G, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$$

then $vv^*, v^*v \in \mathbf{1} + (G \ltimes \mathcal{J}) \subseteq (G \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$.

We note that the above hypothesis on β is equivalent to the fact that $\mathbf{1} - \beta \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + L^1(G)$ is unitary.

Proof. In this proof we will repeatedly use that fact the crossed product by G is a functor from the category whose objects are the C^*-algebras acted on by G, and whose objects are the G-equivariant $*$-morphisms, to the category of C^*-algebras. This functor preserves exact sequences (see for instance [Ph87, Lemma 2.8.2]).

Now recall that $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{J}_1/\mathcal{J}) \dot{+} (\mathcal{J}_2/\mathcal{J})$ by Remark 5.1, and the summands are acted on by G, hence one obtains $G \ltimes (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}) = (G \ltimes (\mathcal{J}_1/\mathcal{J})) \dot{+} (G \ltimes (\mathcal{J}_2/\mathcal{J}))$. Let $p_k \colon G \ltimes (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}) \to G \ltimes (\mathcal{J}_k/\mathcal{J})$ for $k = 1, 2$ be the $*$-morphisms which are the Cartesian projections of that direct sum decomposition. Also, as in (4.5), let $q \colon G \ltimes \mathcal{M} \to G \ltimes (\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J})$ be the $*$-morphism that corresponds to the G-equivariant quotient map $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{J}$. Using the fact that $\beta \otimes (a_1 + \mathcal{J}) \in G \ltimes (\mathcal{J}_1/\mathcal{J}) = \operatorname{Ker} p_2$ and $\beta \otimes (a_2 + \mathcal{J}) \in G \ltimes (\mathcal{J}_2/\mathcal{J}) = \operatorname{Ker} p_1$, while $\mathbf{1} = a_1 + a_2$, one then obtains

$$\begin{aligned} q(\beta \otimes a_1) &= p_1(q(\beta \otimes a_1)) + p_2(q(\beta \otimes a_1)) \\ &= p_1(\beta \otimes (a_1 + \mathcal{J})) + p_2(\beta \otimes (a_1 + \mathcal{J})) \\ &= p_1(\beta \otimes (\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{J})) \\ &= p_1(q(\beta \otimes \mathbf{1})). \end{aligned}$$

Then the hypothesis $\beta + \beta^* = \beta * \beta^* = \beta^* * \beta$ implies $q((\beta \otimes a_1) + (\beta \otimes a_1)^*) = q((\beta \otimes a_1)(\beta \otimes a_1)^*) = q((\beta \otimes a_1)^*(\beta \otimes a_1))$, and this is equivalent to $\mathbf{1} - vv^*, \mathbf{1} - v^*v \in \operatorname{Ker} q$. Hence, using also the exact sequence (4.5), we obtain

$$\mathbf{1} - vv^*, \mathbf{1} - v^*v \in \operatorname{Ker} q = G \ltimes \mathcal{J}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Remark 5.6. In Proposition 5.5, since $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2$, one may use [Bl06, II.5.1.4(i)] to select $a_k \in \mathcal{J}_k$ with $a_k \geq 0$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $a_1 + a_2 = \mathbf{1}$.

Some product-convolution operators. We now establish sufficient conditions for the hypothesis (v) of Proposition 4.6 to be satisfied by the elements v constructed in Proposition 5.5 above.

Let $\mathcal{H}_0$ be a separable complex Hilbert space. Then we identify $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)}$, and similary $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ with $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)}$. If we define the unitary operator given by the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F} \colon L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0), \quad (\mathcal{F}\eta)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\xi t} \eta(t) \mathrm{d}t,$$

then we have that for $\chi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ we have $\mathcal{F}(\chi * \eta) = \widehat{\chi} \cdot \mathcal{F}\eta$, where $\widehat{\chi}$ denotes the scalar Fourier transform.

Define now the function

$$\beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty), \quad \beta(t) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{e}^{-t} & \text{if } t \in [0, \infty), \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (-\infty, 0). \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Then $\beta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\widehat{\beta}(\xi) = \frac{i}{i+\xi}$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence the convolution operator

$$T \colon L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0), \quad T\eta = \beta * \eta \tag{5.2}$$

is bounded, and for every $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $(\mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^*\varphi)(\xi) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{i}+\xi}\varphi(\xi)$, which directly implies that

$$\mathbf{1} - 2T \text{ is a unitary operator on } L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0). \tag{5.3}$$

For further use we note that for any $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ one has

$$(T\eta)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(s)\eta(t-s)\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-s}\eta(t-s)\mathrm{d}s = \mathrm{e}^{-t}\int_{-\infty}^t \mathrm{e}^s\eta(s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{5.4}$$

Lemma 5.7. *Let $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0))$ with $\lim_{t\to\infty}\|g(t)-\mathbf{1}\| = 0$, and $0 \le g(t) \le \mathbf{1}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the corresponding multiplication operator*

$$M_g\colon L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0), \quad (M_g\eta)(t) = g(t)\eta(t).$$

Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{1} - 2M_gT) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{1} - 2M_gT)$. We will prove that $\eta = 0$.

Using (5.4), we obtain for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \eta(t) = (2M_gT\eta)(t) = 2\mathrm{e}^{-t}g(t)\int_{-\infty}^t \mathrm{e}^s\eta(s)\mathrm{d}s \tag{5.5}$$

hence η is continuous. Defining $y\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{H}_0$, $y(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t \mathrm{e}^s\eta(s)\mathrm{d}s$, we then obtain

$$y'(t) = 2g(t)y(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y(-\infty) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $g(t)^* = g(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|y(t)\|^2 &= (y'(t) \mid y(t)) + (y(t) \mid y'(t))\\ &= (2g(t)y(t) \mid y(t)) + (y(t) \mid 2g(t)y(t))\\ &= 4(g(t)y(t) \mid y(t)).\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Since $g(t) \ge 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows by the above equalities that the function $\|y(\cdot)\|^2$ is nondecreasing on $\mathbb{R}$, hence

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad 0 \le \|y(t)\| \le \lim_{s\to\infty}\|y(s)\|. \tag{5.7}$$

By hypothesis we also have $0 \le g(t) \le \mathbf{1}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} g(t) = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ in the operator norm topology, hence, for arbitrary $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exists $t_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(1-\epsilon)\mathbf{1} \le g(t) \le \mathbf{1}$ for every $t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty)$. For later use we note that we may assume

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 1} t_\epsilon = \infty. \tag{5.8}$$

By (5.6) and the above equalities on $g(t)$ we obtain

$$(\forall t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty)) \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|y(t)\|^2 \ge 4(1-\epsilon)\|y(t)\|^2.$$

If $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f'(t) \ge cf(t)$ for every $t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty)$ and some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then the derivative of the function $t \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-ct}f(t)$ is nonnegative on $[t_0, \infty)$, hence one has $f(t) \ge \mathrm{e}^{c(t-t_\epsilon)}f(t_\epsilon)$. For $f(t) = \|y(t)\|^2$ and $c = 4(1-\epsilon)$, we thus obtain by the above inequality that $\|y(t)\|^2 \ge \mathrm{e}^{4(1-\epsilon)(t-t_0)}\|y(t_0)\|^2$, hence

$$\|y(t)\| \ge \mathrm{e}^{2(1-\epsilon)(t-t_\epsilon)}\|y(t_\epsilon)\| \text{ for all } t \in [t_0, \infty). \tag{5.9}$$

By (5.5) one has

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \eta(t) = 2\mathrm{e}^{-t}g(t)y(t).$$

If $t \in [t_\epsilon, \infty)$, then $g(t) \geq (1-\epsilon)\mathbf{1}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta(t)\| &\geq 2(1-\epsilon)\mathrm{e}^{-t}\|y(t)\| \\ &\geq 2(1-\epsilon)\mathrm{e}^{-t}\cdot \mathrm{e}^{2(1-\epsilon)(t-t_\epsilon)}\|y(t_\epsilon)\| \\ &= 2(1-\epsilon)\cdot \mathrm{e}^{(1-2\epsilon)t-2(1-\epsilon)t_\epsilon}\|y(t_\epsilon)\|\end{aligned}$$

where we also used (5.9). Since $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$, it follows by the above inequality that if $\epsilon \in (1/2, 1)$, then $y(t_\epsilon) = 0$. This implies by (5.7)–(5.8) that $y(t) = 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\eta(t) = 2\mathrm{e}^{-t}g(t)y(t)$, we get that $\eta = 0$, and this completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $(G, +)$ be a second countable locally compact abelian group. If $h\colon G \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is a norm continuous function with $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|h(t)\| = 0$ and $f \in L^1(G)$, then the operator $M_h T_f\colon L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0) \to L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is compact, where $(M_h\varphi)(t) := h(t)\varphi(t)$ and $(T_f\varphi)(t) := \int_G f(s)\varphi(-t+s)\mathrm{d}s$ for a.e. $s \in G$ and all $\varphi \in L^2(G, \mathcal{H}_0)$.*

Proof. This is a special case of [BSS72, Th. 3.5]. □

Lemma 5.9. *Assume the setting of Lemma 5.7. Additionally, assume that the following condition is satisfied:*

- *for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $g(t) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$, and $\lim_{t\to-\infty} \|g(t)\| = 0$.*

Then the range of the operator $\mathbf{1} - 2M_g T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0))$ is closed and is different from $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$.

Proof. If $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 = \infty$, then $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0) = \{0\}$, hence there exist and are uniquely determined some functions $c\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ with $g(t) = c(t)\mathbf{1} + h(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\dim \mathcal{H}_0 < \infty$, then we define $c(t) := \frac{1}{\dim \mathcal{H}_0}\mathrm{Tr}\, g(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h(t) := g(t) - c(t)\mathbf{1}$.

Then it is easily checked that the properties of g imply that the functions c and h are continuous, $\lim_{t\to-\infty} c(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} c(t) = 1$, and $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|h(t)\| = 0$. Since the values of h are compact operators, it then follows by Lemma 5.8 that the operator $M_h T\colon L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is compact.

Using Lemma 5.7, the assertion we have to prove is equivalent to the fact that the operator $\mathbf{1} - 2M_g$ is semi-Fredholm of index different from zero. One has $(\mathbf{1} - 2M_{c(\cdot)\mathbf{1}}T) - (\mathbf{1} - 2M_g T) = 2M_h T$, which is a compact operator as seen in the paragraph above. Thus, by the invariance of the semi-Fredholm property and of the index under compact perturbations (see [Bl06, I.8.3.14]), it is enough to prove that the operator $\mathbf{1} - 2M_{c(\cdot)\mathbf{1}}T$ is semi-Fredholm of index different from zero.

To this end we recall that $T\colon L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ is given by convolution by the scalar-valued function β, hence it is easily seen that

$$\mathbf{1} - 2M_{c(\cdot)\mathbf{1}}T = (\mathbf{1} - 2M_c T_\beta) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$

where $M_c\colon L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the operator defined by multiplication by the scalar function c, while $T_\beta\colon L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by convolution by the function β.

We now note that for any complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is injective and has closed range if and only if there exists $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ with $S^*S \geq \gamma\mathbf{1}$, which is further equivalent to $(S \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0})^*(S \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0}) \geq \gamma\mathbf{1}$, and this is finally equivalent to the fact that the operator $S \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{H}_0)$ is injective and has

closed range. If moreover S is not surjective, then clearly also $S \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is not surjective.

Thus, by the above equality, in order to prove that the operator $\mathbf{1} - 2M_{c(\cdot)\mathbf{1}}T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0))$ is semi-Fredholm of index different from zero, it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{1} - 2M_cT_\beta \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ is semi-Fredholm of index different from zero. These properties of $\mathbf{1} - 2M_cT_\beta \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ were established in the proof of [Ro76, Prop. 5] and also in [Pi99, Lemma 4.5], and this completes the present proof. □

Quasidiagonality for crossed products of unitizations.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a separable C^*-algebra without unit, with its unitization $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_0 \dot{+} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Assume that G is an amenable separable locally compact group for which $C^*(G)$ is quasidiagonal. If one has a continuous action $G \times \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{M}_0$, canonically extended to an action $G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, then $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0$ is quasidiagonal if and only if $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ is quasidiagonal.*

Proof. One has the split exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{M}_0 \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \to 0$ which, by [Ph87, Lemma 2.82], leads to the split exact sequence

$$0 \to G \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0 \to G \ltimes \mathcal{M} \to C^*(G) \to 0.$$

If $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ is quasidiagonal then also its ideal $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0$ is quasidiagonal.

Conversely, let us assume that $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0$ is quasidiagonal. The C^*-algebra $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}_0$ is separable, hence is σ-unital. Moreover, in the above split exact sequence, $C^*(G)$ is a separable nuclear C^*-algebra since G is an amenable separable locally compact group (see [Bl06, Cor. IV.3.5.2]). Since $C^*(G)$ is quasidiagonal, it then follows by [BrDa04, Prop. 2.5] that $G \ltimes \mathcal{M}$ is quasidiagonal, and this completes the proof. □

6. End of the proof of Theorem 2.2

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $\beta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be given by (5.1) and define

$$v := \mathbf{1} - 2\beta \otimes a \in \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \dot{+} L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1. \tag{6.1}$$

We will check that all the hypotheses of Corollary 4.7 are met, and this will imply the conclusion.

Firstly, since $k \cdot a = a$ for every $k \in K$, it follows that v is a fixed point with respect to the natural action of K on $(\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathcal{M})^1$. We now check the hypotheses of Proposition 4.6.

The hypothesis (i) of Proposition 4.6 can be checked in the following way: Since the action of $\mathbb{R}$ on Y is proper by hypothesis, it follows that the orbit space $Y/\mathbb{R}$ is Hausdorff (see for instance [KLQ16, Prop. 2.9]). It then follows by hypothesis that $Y/\mathbb{R}$ is actually compact.

The hypothesis (iv) of Proposition 4.6 can be checked by an application of Proposition 5.5 for $a_1 := a$ and $a_2 := \mathbf{1} - a$. In fact, one can prove using the Fourier transform as in the proof of (5.3) or directly that $2\beta + 2\beta^* = (2\beta) * (2\beta)^* = (2\beta)^* * (2\beta)$.

On hypothesis (v) of Proposition 4.6: For any $y \in Y = \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ select an irreducible $*$-representation $\pi_y \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_0)$ with $y = [\pi_y]$, and let $\Pi_y = M_y \rtimes \lambda_0 \colon \mathcal{M} \rtimes G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0))$ be its corresponding π_y-regular representation, where $\lambda_0 \colon G \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0))$ is the unitary representation given by the left regular representation of the group G, while $M_y \colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0))$, $h \mapsto M_y(h)$, is the representation given by multiplication operators $(M_y(h)\varphi)(t) = \pi_y(t \cdot h)\varphi(t)$.

For every $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$ one has

$$\Pi_y(2\beta \otimes a)\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} M_y((2\beta \otimes a)(s))\lambda_0(s)\varphi \mathrm{d}s.$$

Here $M_y((2\beta \otimes a)(s) = M_y(2\beta(s)a) = 2\beta(s)M_y(a) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0))$, and thus $\Pi_y(2\beta \otimes a)\varphi = M_y(a)((2\beta * \varphi)(\cdot))$ for all $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Recalling that T is the convolution operator by β (see (5.2)), we can rewrite this as

$$\Pi_y(2\beta \otimes a) = 2M_y(a)T.$$

Using the definition (6.1) of v, we obtain

$$\Pi_y(v) = \mathbf{1} - 2M_y(a)T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H}_0)) \tag{6.2}$$

It then follows by Lemmas 5.7 and 5.9 applied for $g_(t) := \pi_y(t \cdot a)$ that the operator $\Pi_y(v)$ is injective, has closed range, and is not surjective.

Thus all the hypotheses of Proposition 4.6 are satisfied, and this completes this proof. □

7. Quasidiagonality of generalized $ax + b$-groups

Let $X \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $(x, t) \mapsto x \cdot t$, be a continuous right action of the group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ on a compact space. For every subset $Y \subseteq X$ we define the closed subsets of X

$$\omega(Y) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Cl}\,(Y \cdot [t, \infty))$$

$$\omega^*(Y) := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Cl}\,(Y \cdot (-\infty, t]))$$

where $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\bullet)$ stands for the closure of a subset of X. Equivalently

$$\omega(Y) = \{x \in X \mid (\exists \{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq Y,\, \{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R})\ \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \infty,\ \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n \cdot t_n = x\}$$

and there is a similar description of $\omega^*(Y)$ in which $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = -\infty$.

For every singleton set $\{x\} \subseteq X$ we denote $\omega(\{x\}) =: \omega(x)$ and $\omega^*(\{x\}) =: \omega^*(x)$.

A subset $A \subseteq T$ is said to be an *attractor*, respectively *repeller*, if it has a neighborhood N with $\omega(N) = A$, respectively $\omega^*(N) = A$. It is clear that attractors and repellers are closed invariant subsets of X.

If $A \subseteq X$ is an attractor, then we define its corresponding *complementary repeller*

$$A^* := \{x \in X \mid \omega(x) \cap A = \emptyset\} = X \setminus \widetilde{\alpha}(A)$$

(see [CW14, Lemma 8.2.5]) where the set $\widetilde{\alpha}(A) := \{x \in X \mid \omega(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is the *domain of influence* of A. The pair of sets (A, A^*) is said to be a *nontrivial attractor-repeller pair* if $A \cup A^* \subsetneqq X$.

Notation 7.1. For any finite-dimensional real vector space $\mathcal{V}$ we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{V}} := \mathcal{V} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ its one-point compactification, and for every homeomorphism $\theta\colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ we denote also by $\theta\colon \overline{\mathcal{V}} \to \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ its extension to a homeomorphism with $\theta(\infty) = \infty$.

For any $D \in \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{V})$, we consider the $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension $D\colon \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and we denote its spectrum by $\sigma(D)$, where $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space and $D \in \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{V})$ with its corresponding flow $\alpha_D\colon \overline{\mathcal{V}} \times \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, $\alpha_D(v, t) := \exp(tD)v$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) *The flow α_D has no nontrivial attractor-repeller pair.*
(2) *The C^*-algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_D} \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$ is quasidiagonal.*
(3) *There exists an embedding of $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_D} \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{V}})$ into an AF-algebra.*

Proof. This is a special case of [Pi99, Th. 4.7], since a flow has no nontrivial attractor-repeller pair if and only if it is chain-recurrent by [CW14, Th. 8.3.3]. □

Remark 7.3. Here we collect a few basic facts to be used in the proof of Lemma 7.4 and Proposition 7.5 below. For more details, see [CW14].

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space and consider $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$. For $\mu \in \sigma(D) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})$, the real generalized eigenspace for μ and $\overline{\mu}$ is given by

$$\{v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V} \mid v_1 + \mathrm{i}v_2 \in \ker(D - \mu\mathbf{1})^m\},$$

where m is the dimension of the largest Jordan block for μ. If $\mu \in \sigma(D) \cap \mathbb{R}$, then the the real generalized eigenspace for μ is $\ker(D - \mu I_{\mathcal{V}})^m$, where m is again the dimension of the largest Jordan block for μ. Let $E(\mu_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, r$ be the real generalized eigenspaces for D, where μ_k, $k = 1, \ldots, r$, are the eigenvalues of $\sigma(D)$,

We denote by $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_\ell$ the distinct real parts of the eigenvalues μ_k, $k = 1, \ldots, r$, of $\sigma(D)$, and define the Lyapunov spaces $\mathcal{V}(\lambda_j)$ to be the direct sum of all real generalized eigenspaces $E(\mu_k)$ associated to eigenvalues μ_k with $\mathrm{Re}\mu_k = \lambda_j$. Then one has the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\lambda_1) \dotplus \cdots \dotplus \mathcal{V}(\lambda_\ell) \tag{7.1}$$

(see [CW14, page 13]). One can prove that

$$\mathcal{V}(\lambda_j) := \{0\} \cup \Big\{ v \in \mathcal{V} \mid \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \| \exp(tD)v \| = \lambda_j \Big\} \tag{7.2}$$

(see [CW14, Th. 1.4.3]). With $\mathcal{V}_j := \mathcal{V}(\lambda_j) \dotplus \cdots \dotplus \mathcal{V}(\lambda_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{W}_j := \mathcal{V}(\lambda_1) \dotplus \cdots \dotplus \mathcal{V}(\lambda_j)$, it follows by [CW14, Th. 1.4.4] that if $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \| \exp(tD)v \| = \lambda_j \iff v \in \mathcal{V}_j \setminus \mathcal{V}_{j+1} \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} \frac{1}{-t} \log \| \exp(tD)v \| = -\lambda_j \iff v \in \mathcal{W}_j \setminus \mathcal{W}_{j-1}, \tag{7.4}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{W}_0 := \{0\}$.

By (7.2), one obtains

$$v \in \mathcal{V}(\lambda_j),\ \pm\lambda_j > 0 \implies \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \exp(tD)v = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \to \mp\infty} \exp(tD)v = 0. \tag{7.5}$$

It follows by (7.3)–(7.4) that

$$v \in \mathcal{V}_j \setminus \mathcal{V}_{j+1},\ \lambda_j > 0 \implies \lim_{t \to \infty} \exp(tD)v = \infty \tag{7.6}$$

and

$$v \in \mathcal{W}_j \setminus \mathcal{W}_{j-1},\ \lambda_j < 0 \implies \lim_{t \to -\infty} \exp(tD)v = \infty. \tag{7.7}$$

Lemma 7.4. *If $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite-dimensional real vector space and $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$ whose corresponding flow $\alpha_D \colon \overline{\mathcal{V}} \times \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, $\alpha_D(v,t) := \exp(tD)v$, has a nontrivial attractor-repeller pair, then there exists no $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathrm{i}\tau \in \sigma(D)$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = A \sqcup Z \sqcup A^*$ with $Z \neq \emptyset$, where (A, A^*) is a nontrivial attractor-repeller pair, and assume that there exists $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathrm{i}\tau \in \sigma(D)$. We will argue by contradiction, in a few steps, repeatedly using the fact that by [CW14, Prop. 8.2.7],

$$\omega(v) \subseteq A \text{ and } \omega^*(v) \subseteq A^*, \text{ hence } \omega(v) \cap \omega^*(v) = \emptyset, \text{ for all } v \in Z. \tag{7.8}$$

This implies that, since 0 and ∞ are fixed points of the flow α_D, we cannot have $0, \infty \in Z$ hence $Z \subseteq \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$.

Step 1: We first prove that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(0)$, that is, $\sigma(D) \subseteq \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$.

To this end we note that since $\mathrm{i}\tau \in \sigma(D)$, there exist $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ with $0 \neq v_1 + \mathrm{i}v_2 \in \operatorname{Ker}(D - \mathrm{i}\tau) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{V}$. Since $D(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, it is easy to check that $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$ are linearly independent vectors. It also follows that $\exp(tD)v_1 = (\cos(t\tau))v_1 - (\sin(t\tau))v_2$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and, since $\tau \neq 0$, this directly implies $\omega(v_1) = \omega^*(v_1) = \exp(\mathbb{R}D)v_1 \simeq \mathbb{T}$. This implies, by (7.8), that $v_1 \notin Z$.

Therefore $v_1 \in A \sqcup A^*$, and one similarly obtains $sv \in A \sqcup A^*$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. (If $s = 0$ then $\omega(0) = \omega^*(0) = \{0\}$, so $0 \notin Z$ by the above argument.) Thus $\mathbb{R}v_1 \subseteq A \sqcup A^*$, and since $\mathbb{R}v_1$ is connected, we must have either $\mathbb{R}v_1 \subseteq A$ or $\mathbb{R}v_1 \subseteq A^*$. We may assume $\mathbb{R}v_1 \subseteq A$. Then, since A is a closed subset of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$, we obtain $\mathbb{R}v_1 \cup \{\infty\} \subseteq A$, hence $0, \infty \in A$. On the other hand, if there exists $\lambda \in \sigma(D)$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \neq 0$, then (7.5) implies that we have either $0 \in A$ and $\infty \in A^*$, or $0 \in A^*$ and $\infty \in A$, which is a contradiction with $0, \infty \in A$. Consequently, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \sigma(D)$, that is, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(0)$.

Step 2: We now prove that the map D is semisimple.

To this end let us assume that D is not semisimple. We fix a real Jordan basis in $\mathcal{V}$ (see for instance [CW14, Th. 1.2.3]), and then we define the Euclidean scalar product on $\mathcal{V}$ for which that Jordan basis is an orthonormal basis. Let $D = S + N$ be the Jordan decomposition, where S is semisimple, N is nilpotent, and $SN = NS$. The assumption that D has only purely imaginary eigenvalues implies that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the map $\exp(tS)$ is a isometry, while the assumption that D is not semisimple implies that there exists an integer $k \geq 2$ with $N^k = 0 \neq N^{k-1}$. Since Z is an open nonempty subset of $\mathcal{V}$ while $N^{k-1} \neq 0$, it follows that $Z \not\subset \operatorname{Ker} N^{k-1}$, hence there exists $v \in Z$ with $N^{k-1}v \neq 0$. Then it is easily checked that

$$\exp(tN)v = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^j}{j!} N^j v \to \infty \in \overline{\mathcal{V}} \text{ as } t \to \pm\infty$$

hence

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \| \exp(tD)v \| = \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \| \exp(tS) \exp(tN)v \| = \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \| \exp(tN)v \| = \infty$$

and this shows that $\infty \in \omega(v) \cap \omega^*(v)$, which is a contradiction with (7.8). Consequently, D must be semisimple.

Step 3: We now show that if $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$ is semisimple and $\sigma(D) \subseteq \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, then

$$(\forall v \in \mathcal{V}) \quad \omega(v) = \omega^*(v). \tag{7.9}$$

For $v \in Z$, this is a contradiction with (7.8), which completes the proof.

To prove (7.9), we will use a real Jordan basis and its corresponding Euclidean structure on $\mathcal{V}$ as in Step 2 above. By the current assumption on D we obtain as above that $\exp(tD) \in \operatorname{SO}(\mathcal{V})$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\operatorname{SO}(\mathcal{V})$ is the group of all isometries of $\mathcal{V}$ that can be joined by a continuous path to the identity operator $\mathbf{1}$. It is well known that $\operatorname{SO}(\mathcal{V})$ is a compact group.

Now let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $x \in \omega(v)$ arbitrary. Then there exists a sequence of positive real numbers $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ with $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} t_n = \infty$ and $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \exp(t_n D)v = x$. Since $\exp(t_n D) \in \mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{V})$ for all $n \geq 1$, one has $\|x\| = \|v\| < \infty$, hence $x \neq \infty$.

We choose an arbitrary sequence $\{s_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ in $(0,\infty)$ with $s_{n+1} - s_n \geq 2t_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{V})$ is compact, there exists a sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ for which the sequence $\{\exp(s_{n_q} D)\}_{q\geq 1}$ is convergent. In particular, for $r_q := s_{n_{q+1}} - s_{n_q} \geq 2t_{n_q}$ we obtain

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} r_q = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{q\to\infty} \exp(r_q D) = \mathbf{1}.$$

We also note that for all $q \geq 1$,

$$r_q = s_{n_{q+1}} - s_{n_q} = \sum_{j=n_q}^{n_{q+1}-1} s_{j+1} - s_j \geq \sum_{j=n_q}^{n_{q+1}-1} 2t_j \geq 2t_{n_q}.$$

For $a_q := r_q - t_{n_q} \geq t_{n_q}$ we have $\lim\limits_{q\to\infty} a_q = \infty$ and, by $\exp(-a_q D) \in \mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{V})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(-a_q D)v - x\| &\leq \|\exp(-a_q D)v - \exp(t_{n_q} D)v\| + \|\exp(t_{n_q} D)v - x\| \\ &= \|v - \exp((a_q + t_{n_q})D)v\| + \|\exp(t_{n_q} D)v - x\| \\ &= \|v - \exp(r_q D)v\| + \|\exp(t_{n_q} D)v - x\| \to 0 \text{ as } q \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

hence $x \in \omega^*(v)$. This shows that $\omega(v) \subseteq \omega^*(v)$. The converse inclusion can be proved similarly, hence (7.9) is completely proved, and we are done. □

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space. If $D \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V})$ then its corresponding flow*

$$\alpha_D \colon \overline{\mathcal{V}} \times \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \alpha_D(v,t) := \exp(tD)v$$

has a nontrivial attractor-repeller pair if and only if either $\mathrm{Re}\, z > 0$ for every $z \in \sigma(D)$ or $\mathrm{Re}\, z < 0$ for every $z \in \sigma(D)$. If this is the case, then the only nontrivial attractor-repeller pair is $(\{\infty\},\{0\})$ or $(\{0\},\{\infty\})$, respectively.

Proof. If $\mathrm{Re}\, z < 0$ for every $z \in \sigma(D)$, then $0 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_\ell$, hence by (7.3) we easily obtain that for arbitrary $v \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that if $t \in (t_0,\infty)$, then $\frac{1}{t}\log\|\exp(tD)v\| < \lambda_1/2$, that is, $\|\exp(tD)v\| < \exp(t\lambda_1/2)$, and then $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \exp(tD)v = 0$. This shows that $\omega(v) = \{0\}$ for every $v \in \mathcal{V}$, which directly implies that there exists a unique nontrivial attractor-repeller pair, namely $(\{0\},\{\infty\})$.

In the case when $\mathrm{Re}\, z > 0$ for every $z \in \sigma(D)$, we obtain just as above, using however (7.4) instead of (7.3), that for every $v \in \mathcal{V}\backslash\{0\}$ one has $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \exp(tD)v = \infty$, hence $\omega(v) = \{\infty\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, and this implies that there exists a unique nontrivial attractor-repeller pair, namely $(\{\infty\},\{0\})$.

By Lemma 7.4, it remains to show that show that if $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_\ell$, then there exists no nontrivial attractor-repeller pair. Reasoning by contradiction, let us assume that there exists an attractor A with $Z := \overline{\mathcal{V}} \setminus (A \sqcup A^*) \neq \emptyset$.

The inequalities $\lambda_1 > 0 > \lambda_\ell$ implie in particular $\ell \geq 2$, and then $\mathcal{V}_2 \subsetneqq \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\ell-1} \subsetneqq \mathcal{V}$.

We note that $Z = \overline{\mathcal{V}}\setminus(A \sqcup A^*)$ is an open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$, and $Z \neq \emptyset$ by assumption. As noted at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 7.4, one has $Z \subseteq \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}$. Then Z is an open nonempty subset of $\mathcal{V}$, hence one has neither $Z \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2$ nor $Z \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\ell-1}$.

That is, there exist $z_1 \in Z \setminus \mathcal{V}_2$ and $z_2 \in Z \setminus \mathcal{W}_{\ell-1}$. Then, using (7.6), we obtain $\{\infty\} \subseteq \omega(z_1) \subseteq \omega(Z) \subseteq A$, and on the other hand, by (7.7) we obtain $\{\infty\} \subseteq \omega^*(z_2) \subseteq \omega^*(Z) \subseteq A^*$, hence $\infty \in A \cap A^*$, which is a contradiction with the fact that always $A \cap A^* = \emptyset$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 7.6. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space, fix $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$, and define the solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_D := \mathbb{R} \ltimes_D \mathcal{V}$ with its corresponding connected simply connected Lie group G_D. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(1) One has either $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ for every $z \in \sigma(D)$ or $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$ for every $z \in \sigma(D)$.
(2) The C^*-algebra $C^*(G_D)$ is not quasidiagonal.
(3) There exists no embedding of $C^*(G_D)$ into any AF-algebra.

Proof. We denote $G := G_D$ for simplicity.

The implication (2) $\implies$ (3) hold true with $C^*(G)$ replaced by any C^*-algebra.

For (1) $\iff$ (2) we recall from [Wi07, Ex. 3.16] that there is a $*$-isomorphism

$$C^*(G) \simeq \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_{D^*}} \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V}^*) \tag{7.10}$$

and note that Assertion (1) is equivalent to the similar property of the linear map $D^* \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}^*)$. Thus, by Proposition 7.5, the Assertion (1) is equivalent to existence of a nontrivial attractor-repeller pair for the flow $\alpha_{D^*} \colon \overline{\mathcal{V}^*} \times \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathcal{V}^*}$, and by Lemma 7.2 this is further equivalent to any of the following assertions:

(a) The C^*-algebra $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_{D^*}} \mathcal{M}$ fails to be quasidiagonal.
(b) There exists no embedding of $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_{D^*}} \mathcal{M}$ into any AF-algebra.

Here we denoted $\mathcal{M} := \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} + \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V}^*) \simeq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{V}^*})$. It follows by Proposition 5.10 and (7.10) that the above Assertion (a) is equivalent to the fact that $C^*(G)$ fails to be quasidiagonal, and this completes the proof of (1) $\iff$ (2).

For (3) $\implies$ (1) we note that if (1) does not hold true, then the above assertion (b) also fails to be true (by the above discussion), hence there exists an embedding of $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_{D^*}} \mathcal{M}$ into some AF-algebra. In particular, the ideal $C^*(G) \simeq \mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_{D^*}} \mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{V}^*)$ of $\mathbb{R} \ltimes_{\alpha_{D^*}} \mathcal{M}$ embeds into some AF-algebra, hence (3) fails to be true, and this completes the proof. □

Corollary 7.7. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space and select any $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$ whose spectrum does not contain any nonzero purely imaginary eigenvalues. Then G_D is an exponential solvable Lie group, and moreover $C^*(G_D)$ is quasidiagonal but not strongly quasidiagonal if and only if D satisfies the following spectral condition:*

- *One has $\sigma(D) \neq \{0\}$ and there exist $z_1, z_2 \in \sigma(D)$ with $\operatorname{Re} z_1 \leq 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z_2$.*

Proof. Existence of $z_1, z_2 \in \sigma(D)$ with $\operatorname{Re} z_1 \leq 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z_2$ is equivalent to the fact that Assertion (1) in Theorem 7.6 does not hold true, and this is equivalent to the fact that $C^*(G_D)$ is quasidiagonal.

Moreover, the spectrum of $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$ does not contain any nonzero purely imaginary eigenvalues if and only if the solvable Lie group G_D is exponential. Then, by Theorem 2.1, $C^*(G_D)$ is not strongly quasidiagonal if and only if the Lie group G_D is not nilpotent, which is further equivalent to the fact that that the map D is not nilpotent, that is, $\sigma(D) \neq \{0\}$. □

Corollary 7.8. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a real vector space with $m := \dim \mathcal{V} < \infty$, and denote by $\operatorname{End}_0(\mathcal{V})$ the set of all semisimple endomorphisms $D \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V})$ for which the spectrum $\sigma(D)$ does not contain nonzero purely imaginary eigenvalues.*

There exist exactly

$$N(m) := \sum_{n_0=0}^{m} \left(1 + \left[\frac{m-n_0}{2}\right]\right) \geq m+1$$

$*$-isomorphism classes in the set of C^*-algebras $\{C^*(G_D) \mid D \in \mathrm{End}_0(\mathcal{V})\}$, and exactly one of these $*$-isomorphism classes corresponds to non-quasidiagonal C^*-algebras.

Proof. For every $D \in \mathrm{End}_0(\mathcal{V})$ we denote $n_0(D) := \dim(\mathrm{Ker}\, D)$ and $n_\pm(D)$ the sum of dimensions of all eigenspaces $\mathrm{Ker}\,(D - z\mathbf{1})$ with $\pm \mathrm{Re}\, z > 0$.

It then follows by [LiLu13, Th. 2.5] that for $D_1, D_2 \in \mathrm{End}_0(\mathcal{V})$ one has a $*$-isomorphism $C^*(G_{D_1}) \simeq C^*(G_{D_2})$ if and only if one has both $n_0(D_1) = n_0(D_2)$ and $\{n_+(D_1), n_-(D_1)\} = \{n_+(D_2), n_-(D_2)\}$, with the usual notation convention —if for instance $n_-(D_1) = n_+(D_1)$ then the latter equality equality of sets means $n_-(D_2) = n_+(D_2) = n_+(D_1)$. Therefore, it easily follows that, with $N(m)$ defined in the statement, there exist exactly $N(m)$ $*$-isomorphism classes in the set of C^*-algebras $\{C^*(G_D) \mid D \in \mathrm{End}_0(\mathcal{V})\}$ By Theorem 7.6, exactly one of these $*$-isomorphism classes corresponds to non-quasidiagonal C^*-algebras, namely for $D \in \mathrm{End}_0(\mathcal{V})$ with $n_0(D) = n_+(D)n_-(D) = 0$. □

We note that, as an example of the above Theorem 7.6, we obtain that the Mautner group $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$ is quasidiagonal. It is well known that it is a solvable Lie group that is not of type I (see for instance [AuMo66]).

Existence of solvable Lie groups whose C^*-algebras are not quasidiagonal, which follows by Corollaries 7.7–7.8, shows that the analogue of Rosenberg's conjecture (see [TWW16, Cor. C]) does not carry over directly to non-discrete groups.

References

[AraH15] R.J. Archbold, A. an Huef, The C^*-algebras of compact transformation groups. *Canad. J. Math.* **67** (2015), no. 3, 481–506.

[AuMo66] L. Auslander, C.C. Moore, Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* No. 62 (1966), 199 pp.

[BB16a] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, On C^*-algebras of exponential solvable Lie groups and their real ranks. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **437** (2016), no. 1, 51–58.

[BB16b] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, C^*-dynamical systems of solvable Lie groups. Preprint arXiv:1512.00558v2 [math.RT].

[BBG16] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, J.E. Galé, Transference for Banach space representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *Preprint* arXiv:1511.08359 [math.RT].

[BBL17] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, J. Ludwig, Fourier transforms of C^*-algebras of nilpotent Lie groups. To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Notices*.

[Bl06] B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras. Theory of C^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 122. Operator Algebras and Noncommutative Geometry, III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[BrDa04] N.P. Brown, M. Dădărlat, Extensions of quasidiagonal C^*-algebras and K-theory. In: H. Kosaki (ed.), *Operator algebras and applications*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004, pp. 65–84.

[BSS72] R.C. Busby, I. Schochetman, H.A. Smith, Integral operators and the compactness of induced representations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **164** (1972), 461–477.

[CW14] F. Colonius, W. Kliemann, *Dynamical systems and linear algebra*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **158**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.

[Dix64] J. Dixmier, *Les C^*-algèbres et leurs représentations*. Cahiers Scientifiques, Fasc. **XXIX** Gauthier-Villars & Cie, Éditeur-Imprimeur, Paris, 1964.

[Fe62] J.M.G. Fell, A new proof that nilpotent groups are CCR. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 93–99.

[Hd87] D. Hadwin, Strongly quasidiagonal C^*-algebras. With an appendix by Jonathan Rosenberg. *J. Operator Theory* **18** (1987), no. 1, 3–18.

[KLQ16] S. Kaliszewski, M.B. Landstad, J. Quigg, Properness conditions for actions and coactions. In: R.S. Doran, E. Park (eds.), "Operator Algebras and Their Applications", Contemporary Math., 671, Amer. Math. Soc., 2016, pp. 145–174.

[LiLu13] Y.-F. Lin, J. Ludwig, An isomorphism between group C^*-algebras of $ax + b$-like groups. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **45** (2013), no. 2, 257–267.

[Ph87] N.C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory and freeness of group actions on C^*-algebras.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1274**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[Pi99] M.V. Pimsner, Embedding covariance algebras of flows into AF-algebras. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **19** (1999), no. 3, 723–740.

[Ro76] J. Rosenberg, The C^*-algebras of some real and p-adic solvable groups. *Pacific J. Math.* **65** (1976), no. 1, 175–192.

[TWW16] A. Tikuisis, S. White, W. Winter, Quasidiagonality of nuclear C^*-algebras. *Ann. of Math.* (to appear).

[Wi81] D.P. Williams, The topology on the primitive ideal space of transformation group C^*-algebras and C.C.R. transformation group C^*-algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **266** (1981), no. 2, 335–359.

[Wi07] D.P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^*-algebras.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 134. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: ingrid.beltita@gmail.com, Ingrid.Beltita@imar.ro

E-mail address: beltita@gmail.com, Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro